

Bounds on the basic physical parameters for anisotropic compact general relativistic objects

C. G. Böhrer*

*ASGBG/CIU, Department of Mathematics, Apartado Postal C-600,
University of Zacatecas (UAZ), Zacatecas, Zac 98060, Mexico and
Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong,
Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong SAR, P. R. China*

T. Harko†

*Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical and Computational Physics,
The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong SAR, P. R. China*

(Dated: February 6, 2008)

Abstract

We derive upper and lower limits for the basic physical parameters (mass-radius ratio, anisotropy, redshift and total energy) for arbitrary anisotropic general relativistic matter distributions in the presence of a cosmological constant. The values of these quantities are strongly dependent on the value of the anisotropy parameter (the difference between the tangential and radial pressure) at the surface of the star. In the presence of the cosmological constant, a minimum mass configuration with given anisotropy does exist. Anisotropic compact stellar type objects can be much more compact than the isotropic ones, and their radii may be close to their corresponding Schwarzschild radii. Upper bounds for the anisotropy parameter are also obtained from the analysis of the curvature invariants. General restrictions for the redshift and the total energy (including the gravitational contribution) for anisotropic stars are obtained in terms of the anisotropy parameter. Values of the surface redshift parameter greater than two could be the main observational signature for anisotropic stellar type objects.

PACS numbers: 04.40.Dg, 97.10.-q, 04.20.-q

*Electronic address: boehmer@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at

†Electronic address: harko@hkucc.hku.hk

I. INTRODUCTION

It is generally believed that for smooth equations of state no stable stellar configurations with central densities above that corresponding to the limiting mass of neutron stars is stable against acoustical vibrational modes [1]. The maximum allowed gravitational mass of a neutron star has been derived by using the properties of neutron matter at density ranges where they can be accurately predicted and imposing a minimum number of constraints at densities exceeding a higher fiducial density, ρ_0 , e.g. subluminal sound velocity and thermodynamic stability. Following this approach it has been rigorously proved that the mass of a stable neutron star becomes maximum for the stiffest possible equation of state that is consistent with the fundamental physical constraints [2]. As a result a maximum neutron star mass of $3.2M_\odot$ has been found. On the other hand, by using the static spherically symmetric gravitational field equations, Buchdahl [3] has obtained an absolute constraint of the maximally allowable mass M -radius R ratio for isotropic fluid spheres of the form $2M/R < 8/9$ (in the present paper we use natural units so that $c = G = 1$).

The study of the maximum mass and mass-radius ratio for compact stars has been done mainly for isotropic stellar objects, in which the tangential pressure equals the radial one. But, as suggested by Ruderman [4], theoretical investigations of more realistic stellar models show that the stellar matter may be anisotropic at least in certain very high density ranges ($\rho > 10^{15}$ g/cm³), where the nuclear interactions must be treated relativistically. According to these views in such massive stellar objects the radial pressure p_r may not be equal to the tangential one $p_\perp$, $p_r \neq p_\perp$. No celestial body is composed of purely perfect fluid. Anisotropy in fluid pressure could be introduced by the existence of a solid core or by the presence of type 3A superfluid [5], different kinds of phase transitions [6], pion condensation [7] or by other physical phenomena. A slowly rotating system can be formally described as a static anisotropic fluid [8]. The mixture of two gases (e.g., monatomic and molecular hydrogen, or ionized hydrogen and electrons) can also be interpreted as an anisotropic fluid [9]. For a review of the appearance of local anisotropy in self-gravitating systems and of its main physical consequences see [10].

For arbitrarily large anisotropy, in principle there is neither limiting mass nor limiting redshift [11]. Semi-realistic equations of state lead to a mass of $3\text{--}4M_\odot$ for neutron stars with an anisotropic equation of state [11]. Bowers and Liang [12] have analytically obtained the

maximum equilibrium mass and surface redshift in the case of incompressible neutron matter. They also numerically investigated models with a special form of anisotropy, founding that specific models lead to increases in the redshift proportional to the deviations from isotropy.

Bondi [13] considered the relation between redshift and the ratio of the trace of the pressure tensor to local density. When anisotropic pressures are allowed considerably larger redshift values can be obtained. Several classes of solutions of the gravitational field equations for anisotropic matter distributions have been obtained in [14] and [15].

The value of the bound M/R is an important problem in relativistic astrophysics since “the existence of such a bound is intriguing because it occurs well before the appearance of an apparent horizon at $M = R/2$ ” [16]. In [16] the upper limit of M/R for compact general relativistic configurations has been re-investigated by assuming that inside the star the radial stress p_r is different from the tangential one $p_\perp$. If the density is monotonically decreasing and $p_r \geq p_\perp$ then the upper bound $8/9$ is still valid to the entire bulk if m is replaced by the quasi-local mass. This bound cannot be recovered if $p_\perp \geq p_r$ and / or the density is not a monotonic function.

The maximum value of the redshift for anisotropic stars was derived in [17]. For realistic anisotropic star models the surface redshift cannot exceed the values 3.842 or 5.211 when the tangential pressure satisfies the strong or the dominant energy condition, respectively. Both values are higher than 2, the bound in the perfect fluid case. Several bounds on the important physical parameters for the anisotropic stars have been derived in [18]. If the radial pressure is larger than the tangential pressure, then the radial pressure is also larger than the corresponding pressure for a fiducial isotropic model with the same mass function and total mass, while the opposite holds if the tangential pressure is larger than the radial one. By imposing an energy condition, the value for the maximum possible redshift at the surface of the star can be obtained.

Several bounds on the mass-radius ratio and anisotropy parameter have also been found, for models in which the anisotropy increases as r^2 , in [19].

One of the most important results of modern cosmology is the observational evidence for the existence of the cosmological constant. The first pressing piece of data involved the study of Type Ia Supernovae. Observations of Type Ia Supernovae with redshift up to about $z \sim 1$ provided evidence that we may live in a low mass-density Universe, with the contribution of the non-relativistic matter (baryonic plus dark) to the total energy density

of the Universe of the order of $\Omega_m \sim 0.3$ [20, 21]. The value of Ω_m is significantly less than unity [22], and consequently either the Universe is open or there is some additional energy density ρ sufficient to reach the value $\Omega_{\text{total}} = 1$, predicted by inflationary theory.

The existence of the cosmological constant modifies the allowed ranges for various physical parameters, like, for example, the maximum mass of compact stellar objects, thus leading to modifications of the “classical” Buchdahl limit [23].

On the other hand, we cannot exclude *a priori* the possibility that the cosmological constant, as a manifestation of vacuum energy, may play an important role not only at galactic or cosmological scales, but also at the level of elementary particles. With the use of the generalized Buchdahl identity [23], it can be rigorously proven that the existence of a non-negative Λ imposes a lower bound on the mass M and density ρ of general relativistic objects of radius R , which is given by [24]

$$2M \geq \frac{8\pi\Lambda}{6}R^3, \quad \rho = \frac{3M}{4\pi R^3} \geq \frac{\Lambda}{2} =: \rho_{\text{min}}. \quad (1)$$

Therefore, the existence of the cosmological constant implies the existence of an absolute minimum mass and density in the universe. No object present in relativity can have a density that is smaller than ρ_{min} . For $\Lambda > 0$ this result also implies a minimum density for stable fluctuations in energy density.

There are some other astrophysical systems which may be modeled, at least at a qualitative level, by using an effective “cosmological constant”. For example, there is the possibility that scalar fields present in the early universe could condense to form the so named boson stars [25, 26, 27]. The simplest kind of boson star is made up of a self-interacting complex scalar field Φ describing a state of zero temperature [27, 28, 29]. If we suppose that in the star’s interior regions and for some field configurations Φ is a slowly varying function of r , so that it is nearly a constant, then in the gravitational field equations the scalar field will play the role of a cosmological constant, which could also describe a mixture of ordinary matter and bosonic particles.

It is the purpose of the present paper to obtain the minimum and the maximum allowable mass-radius ratio in the case of anisotropic compact general relativistic objects, in the presence of a cosmological constant, as a function of the anisotropy parameter. We found that for anisotropic compact general relativistic bodies an upper limit (different from half) does not exist in general. Consequently there are no limits on the red shift of the radiation

coming from this type of objects. On the other the presence of the anisotropy induces a minimum mass-radius ratio even in the absence of the cosmological constant. Upper bounds on the anisotropy are also derived by using the properties of the linear and quadratic scalars formed out of the curvature tensor (the Ricci invariants).

The present paper is organized as follows. The Buchdahl limit for anisotropic general relativistic objects in the presence of a cosmological constant is derived in Section II. In Section III we consider the problem of the minimum mass of anisotropic compact objects. Upper limits for the surface anisotropy of relativistic stars are obtained in Section IV. We discuss our results and conclude our paper in Section V.

II. THE BUCHDAHL LIMIT FOR ANISOTROPIC STARS

For a static general relativistic spherically symmetric matter configuration the interior line element is given by

$$ds^2 = e^\nu dt^2 - e^\lambda dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2). \quad (2)$$

We assume that the star consists of an anisotropic fluid distribution in the presence of a cosmological constant. For such a system the components of the energy-momentum tensor are

$$T_0^0 = \rho + \Lambda, \quad T_1^1 = -p_r + \Lambda, \quad T_2^2 = T_3^3 = -p_\perp + \Lambda, \quad (3)$$

where ρ is the energy density and Λ is the cosmological constant.

We suppose that inside the star $p_r \neq p_\perp$, $\forall r \neq 0$. We define the anisotropy parameter as $\Delta = p_\perp - p_r$. Δ is a measure of the deviations from isotropy. If $\Delta > 0, \forall r \neq 0$ the body is tangential pressure dominated while $\Delta < 0$ indicates that $p_r > p_\perp$. In realistic physical models for compact stars, Δ should be finite, positive and should satisfy the dominant energy condition (DEC) $\Delta \leq \rho$ and the strong energy condition (SEC) $2\Delta + p_r \leq \rho$. These conditions may be written together as $\Delta \leq n\rho$, where $n = 1$ for DEC and $n = 1/2$ for SEC, if the realistic condition for the positivity of p_r in the interior is accepted [17].

The properties of the anisotropic compact object can be completely described by the gravitational structure equations, which are given by:

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi\rho r^2, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{dp_r}{dr} = -\frac{(\rho + p_r) \left[m + 4\pi r^3 \left(p_r - \frac{2\Delta}{3} \right) \right]}{r^2 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{8\pi}{3} \Lambda r^2 \right)} + \frac{2\Delta}{r}, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{d\nu}{dr} = -\frac{2}{\rho + p_r} \frac{dp_r}{dr} + \frac{4\Delta}{r(\rho + p_r)}, \quad (6)$$

where $m(r)$ is the mass inside radius r .

In the Newtonian limit and in the absence of the cosmological constant Eq. (5) reduces to the expression [10]

$$\frac{dp_r}{dr} = -\frac{m\rho}{r^2} + \frac{2\Delta}{r}. \quad (7)$$

Hence the anisotropy term is Newtonian in origin [12]. A solution of Eqs. (4)-(6) is possible only when boundary conditions have been imposed. As in the isotropic case we require that the interior of any matter distribution be free of singularities, which imposes the condition $m(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Assuming that p_r is finite at $r = 0$, we have $\nu' \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Therefore the gradient dp_r/dr will be finite at $r = 0$ only if Δ vanishes at least as rapidly as r when $r \rightarrow 0$. This requires that the anisotropy parameter satisfies the boundary condition

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta(r)}{r} = 0. \quad (8)$$

At the center of the star the other boundary conditions for Eqs. (4)-(6) are $p_r(0) = p_\perp(0) = p_c$ and $\rho(0) = \rho_c$, where ρ_c and p_c are the central density and pressure, respectively. The radius R of the star is determined by the boundary condition $p_r(R) = 0$. We do not necessarily require that the tangential pressure $p_\perp$ vanishes for $r = R$. Therefore at the surface of the star the anisotropy parameter satisfies the boundary condition $\Delta(R) = p_\perp(R) - p_r(R) = p_\perp(R) \geq 0$. To close the field equations the equations of state of the radial pressure $p_r = p_r(\rho)$ and of the tangential pressure $p_\perp = p_\perp(\rho)$ must also be given.

With the use of Eqs. (4)-(6) it is easy to show that the function $\zeta = e^{\nu/2} > 0, \forall r \in [0, R]$, obeys the equation

$$\frac{y}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{y}{r} \frac{d\zeta}{dr} \right] = \frac{\zeta}{r} \left[\frac{d}{dr} \frac{m(r)}{r^3} + \frac{8\pi\Delta}{r} \right], \quad (9)$$

where we denoted

$$\alpha(r) = 1 + \frac{4\pi}{3} \Lambda \frac{r^3}{m(r)}, \quad y(r) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\alpha(r)m(r)}{r}}. \quad (10)$$

For $\Delta = 0$ and $\Lambda = 0$ Eq. (9) reduces to the isotropic equation considered in [30]. Since the density ρ does not increase with increasing r , the mean density of the matter $\langle \rho \rangle = 3m(r)/4\pi r^3$ inside radius r does not increase either.

Therefore we assume that inside a compact general relativistic object the condition

$$\frac{d}{dr} \frac{m(r)}{r^3} < 0, \quad (11)$$

holds independently of the equation of state of dense matter. By defining a new function

$$\eta(r) = 8\pi \int_0^r \frac{r'}{y(r')} \left\{ \int_0^{r'} \frac{\Delta(r'')}{y(r'')} \frac{\zeta(r'')}{r''} dr'' \right\} dr', \quad (12)$$

denoting

$$\Psi = \zeta - \eta, \quad (13)$$

and introducing a new independent variable

$$\xi = \int_0^r \frac{r'}{y(r')} dr', \quad (14)$$

from Eq. (9) we obtain the basic result that all stellar type general relativistic matter distributions with negative density gradient obey the condition

$$\frac{d^2 \Psi}{d\xi^2} < 0, \quad \forall r \in [0, R]. \quad (15)$$

Using the mean value theorem we conclude [30]

$$\frac{d\Psi}{d\xi} \leq \frac{\Psi(\xi) - \Psi(0)}{\xi}, \quad (16)$$

or, taking into account that $\Psi(0) > 0$, we find

$$\Psi^{-1} \frac{d\Psi}{d\xi} \leq \frac{1}{\xi}. \quad (17)$$

In the initial variables we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y(r)}{r} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\nu}{dr} e^{\nu(r)/2} - 8\pi \frac{r}{y(r)} \int_0^r \frac{\Delta(r') e^{\nu(r')/2}}{y(r') r'} dr' \right) \\ \leq \frac{e^{\nu(r)/2} - 8\pi \int_0^r \frac{r'}{y(r')} \left(\int_0^{r'} \frac{\Delta(r'') e^{\nu(r'')/2}}{y(r'') r''} dr'' \right) dr'}{\int_0^r \frac{r'}{y(r')} dr'}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Since for stable stellar type compact objects m/r^3 does not increase outwards, the condition

$$\frac{m(r')}{r'} \geq \frac{m(r)}{r} \left(\frac{r'}{r} \right)^2, \quad \forall r' \leq r, \quad (19)$$

holds for all points inside the star [30]. Moreover, we assume that in the presence of a cosmological constant, the condition

$$\frac{\alpha(r') m(r')}{r'} \geq \frac{\alpha(r) m(r)}{r} \left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^2, \quad (20)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\left[1 + \frac{4\pi}{3} \Lambda \frac{r'^3}{m(r')}\right] \frac{m(r')}{r'} \geq \left[1 + \frac{4\pi}{3} \Lambda \frac{r^3}{m(r)}\right] \frac{m(r)}{r} \left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^2, \quad (21)$$

holds inside the compact object. In fact Eq. (21) is satisfied for all values of the cosmological constant Λ and is valid for all decreasing density compact matter distributions.

In the following we assume that the anisotropy function satisfies the general condition

$$\frac{\Delta(r'') e^{\frac{\nu(r'')}{2}}}{r''} \geq \frac{\Delta(r') e^{\frac{\nu(r')}{2}}}{r'} \geq \frac{\Delta(r) e^{\frac{\nu(r)}{2}}}{r}, r'' \leq r' \leq r. \quad (22)$$

This condition is quite natural, taking into account that, since the matter satisfies the dominant and strong energy conditions, the anisotropy parameter is a monotonically decreasing function inside the star.

Therefore we can evaluate the denominator in the RHS of Eq. (18) as follows:

$$\int_0^r \frac{r'}{y(r')} dr' \geq \int_0^r r' \left[1 - \frac{2\alpha(r) m(r)}{r^3} r'^2\right]^{-1/2} dr' = \frac{r^3}{2\alpha(r) m(r)} (1 - y(r)). \quad (23)$$

For the second term in the bracket of the LHS of Eq. (18) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \frac{\Delta(r') e^{\nu(r')/2}}{y(r') r'} dr' &\geq \frac{\Delta(r) e^{\nu(r)/2}}{r} \int_0^r \left[1 - \frac{2\alpha(r) m(r)}{r^3} r'^2\right]^{-1/2} dr' \\ &= \Delta(r) e^{\nu(r)/2} \left[\frac{2\alpha(r) m(r)}{r}\right]^{-1/2} \arcsin \left(\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha(r) m(r)}{r}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The second term in the nominator of the RHS of Eq. (18) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \frac{r'}{y(r')} \left\{ \int_0^{r'} \frac{\Delta(r'') e^{\nu(r'')/2}}{y(r'') r''} dr'' \right\} dr' &\geq \int_0^r r'^2 \frac{\Delta(r') e^{\nu(r')/2}}{r'} \left[\frac{2\alpha(r') m(r')}{r'}\right]^{-1/2} \arcsin \left(\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha(r') m(r')}{r'}}\right) dr' \\ &\geq \frac{\Delta(r) e^{\nu(r)/2}}{r} \int_0^r r'^2 \left[1 - \frac{2\alpha(r) m(r)}{r^3} r'^2\right]^{-1/2} \arcsin \left[\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha(r) m(r)}{r^3}} r'\right] dr' \\ &= \Delta(r) e^{\nu(r)/2} r^2 \left[\frac{2\alpha(r) m(r)}{r}\right]^{-3/2} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha(r) m(r)}{r}} - y(r) \arcsin \left[\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha(r) m(r)}{r}}\right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

In order to obtain (25) we have also used the property of monotonic increase of the function $\arcsin x/x$ for $x \in [0, 1]$.

Using Eqs. (23)-(25), Eq. (18) becomes:

$$\left\{ 1 - \left[1 - \frac{2\alpha(r)m(r)}{r} \right]^{1/2} \right\} \frac{m(r) + 4\pi r^3 (p_r - \frac{2\Lambda}{3})}{r^3 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\alpha(r)m(r)}{r}}} \leq \frac{2\alpha(r)m(r)}{r^3} + 8\pi\Delta(r) \left\{ \frac{\arcsin \left[\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha(r)m(r)}{r}} \right]}{\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha(r)m(r)}{r}}} - 1 \right\}. \quad (26)$$

Eq. (26) is valid for all r inside the star. It does not depend on the sign of Δ .

Consider first the isotropic case $\Delta = 0$ and $\Lambda = 0$. By evaluating (26) for $r = R$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{R}}} \leq 2 \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{-1}, \quad (27)$$

leading to the well-known result $2M/R \leq 8/9$ [3], [30].

By taking $\Delta = 0$ but considering $\Lambda \neq 0$ we obtain the following upper limit for the mass-radius ratio of a compact object [23]:

$$\frac{2M}{R} \leq \left(1 - \frac{8\pi}{3} \Lambda R^2 \right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{9} \frac{(1 - 2\Lambda/\langle\rho\rangle)^2}{1 - \frac{8\pi}{3} \Lambda R^2} \right]. \quad (28)$$

Next consider the case $\Delta \neq 0$ and $\Lambda \neq 0$. We denote

$$f(M, R, \Lambda, \Delta) = 2 \frac{\Delta(R)}{\langle\rho\rangle} \left\{ \frac{\arcsin \left[\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha(R)M}{R}} \right]}{\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha(R)M}{R}}} - 1 \right\}. \quad (29)$$

Then Eq. (26) leads to the following restriction on the mass-radius ratio for compact anisotropic stars in the presence of a cosmological constant:

$$\frac{2M}{R} \leq \left(1 - \frac{8\pi}{3} \Lambda R^2 \right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{9} \frac{(1 - 2\Lambda/\langle\rho\rangle)^2}{(1 - \frac{8\pi}{3} \Lambda R^2)(1 + f)^2} \right]. \quad (30)$$

For a static general relativistic object the condition $1 - 2M/R - 8\pi\Lambda R^2/3 \geq 0$ must hold for all R , M and Λ . Therefore from Eq. (30) we obtain that $\Delta(R)$ must obey the general condition $(1 - 2\Lambda/\langle\rho\rangle)^2/(1 + f)^2 > 0$, which holds for all Δ . Hence generally we cannot obtain any limiting value for $\Delta(R)$ from Eq. (30). But for a monotonically decreasing anisotropy several upper bounds for the anisotropy parameter can be derived, as will be shown in Section IV.

III. THE MINIMUM MASS OF THE ANISOTROPIC GENERAL RELATIVISTIC OBJECTS

On the vacuum boundary of the anisotropic star, corresponding to $r = R$, Eq. (26) takes the equivalent form

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{R} - \frac{8\pi}{3}\Lambda R^2} \geq \frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \frac{2\Lambda}{\langle\rho\rangle}\right) \frac{1}{1 + f(M, R, \Delta, \Lambda)}. \quad (31)$$

For small values of the argument the function $\arcsin x/x - 1$ which appears in the definition of f can be approximated as $\arcsin x/x - 1 \approx x^2/6$. Therefore, Eq. (31) can be written as

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{R} - \frac{8\pi}{3}\Lambda R^2} \geq \frac{M - \frac{8\pi}{3}\Lambda R^3}{3M + \frac{4\pi}{3}\Delta(R)R^2(2M + \frac{8\pi}{3}\Lambda R^3)}. \quad (32)$$

By introducing a new variable u defined as

$$u = \frac{M}{R} + \frac{4\pi}{3}\Lambda R^2, \quad (33)$$

Eq. (32) takes the form

$$\sqrt{1 - 2u} \geq \frac{u - a}{bu - a}, \quad (34)$$

where we denoted $a = 4\pi\Lambda R^2$ and $b = 3 + 8\pi\Delta(R)R^2/3$, respectively. Then, by squaring we can reformulate the condition given by Eq. (34) as

$$u [2b^2u^2 - (b^2 + 4ab - 1)u + 2a(a + b - 1)] \leq 0, \quad (35)$$

or, equivalently,

$$u(u - u_1)(u - u_2) \leq 0, \quad (36)$$

where

$$u_1 = \frac{b^2 + 4ab - 1 - (1 - b)\sqrt{(1 + b)^2 - 8ab}}{4b^2}, \quad (37)$$

and

$$u_2 = \frac{b^2 + 4ab - 1 + (1 - b)\sqrt{(1 + b)^2 - 8ab}}{4b^2}, \quad (38)$$

respectively.

In the following we keep only the first order terms in both Λ and Δ . Since $u \geq 0$, Eq. (36) is satisfied if $u \leq u_1$ and $u \geq u_2$, or $u \geq u_1$ and $u \leq u_2$. However, the condition $u \geq u_1$ contradicts the upper bound given by Eq. (30).

Therefore, Eq. (36) is satisfied if and only if for all values of the physical parameters the condition $u \geq u_2$ holds. This is equivalent to the existence of a minimum bound for the mass-radius ratio of compact anisotropic objects, which is given by

$$u \geq \frac{2a}{1+b}, \quad (39)$$

and explicitly written out using a, b and u as defined above yields

$$\frac{2M}{R} \geq \frac{8\pi\Lambda}{6} R^2 \left(\frac{1 - \frac{4\pi}{3}\Delta R^2}{1 + \frac{2\pi}{3}\Delta R^2} \right). \quad (40)$$

The presence of the anisotropy weakens the lower bound on the mass, however, there still exists an absolute minimal mass in nature. In the case $\Delta \equiv 0$, we recover the lower bound for the minimum mass and density for isotropic general relativistic objects, obtained in [24]. In this case the existence of a minimum mass is determined by the presence of the cosmological constant only. For $\Lambda \equiv 0$, the presence of an anisotropic pressure distribution reduces to the requirement of the positivity of M , $M \geq 0$.

For isotropic systems with $\Delta \equiv 0$ and for a value of the cosmological constant of the order of $\Lambda \approx 3 \times 10^{-56} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, the numerical value of minimum density following from Eq. (40) is $\rho_{\min} \approx 8 \times 10^{-30} \text{ g/cm}^3$. By assuming the existence in nature of an absolute minimum length of the order of the Planck length l_{Pl} it follows that the corresponding absolute minimum mass is of the order of $1.4 \times 10^{-127} \text{ g}$. However, by combining the minimum mass condition with energy stability conditions objects with masses as high as 10^{55} g can also be obtained (for a detailed discussion of the properties of the minimum mass particles see [31]).

IV. BOUNDS ON THE SURFACE ANISOTROPY OF COMPACT OBJECTS

Curvature is described by the tensor field R^l_{ijk} . It is well known that if one uses singular behavior of the components of this tensor or its derivatives as a criterion for singularities, one gets into trouble since the singular behavior of components could be due to singular behavior of the coordinates or tetrad basis rather than that of the curvature itself. To avoid this problem, one should examine the linear and quadratic scalars formed out of curvature.

In order to find a general restriction for $\Delta(R)$ we shall consider the behavior of the Ricci invariants

$$r_0 = R^i_i = R, \quad (41)$$

$$r_1 = R_{ij}R^{ij}, \quad (42)$$

and

$$r_2 = R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl}, \quad (43)$$

respectively.

If the static line element is regular, satisfying the conditions $e^{\nu(0)} = \text{constant} \neq 0$ and $e^{\lambda(0)} = 1$, then the Ricci invariants are also non-singular functions throughout the star. In particular for a regular space-time the invariants are non-vanishing at the origin $r = 0$. For the invariant r_2 we find

$$r_2 = \left(16\pi\Delta + 8\pi\rho + 8\pi p_r - \frac{4m}{r^3} - \frac{16\pi\Lambda}{3}\right)^2 + 2\left(8\pi p_r - \frac{16\pi\Lambda}{3} + \frac{2m}{r^3}\right)^2 + 2\left(8\pi\rho + \frac{16\pi\Lambda}{3} - \frac{2m}{r^3}\right)^2 + 4\left(\frac{2m}{r^3} + \frac{8\pi\Lambda}{3}\right)^2. \quad (44)$$

For a monotonically decreasing and regular anisotropy parameter Δ , the function r_2 is also regular and monotonically decreasing throughout the star. Therefore it satisfies the condition $r_2(R) < r_2(0)$, leading to the following general constraint on $\Delta(R)$:

$$\Delta(R) \leq \frac{\langle\rho\rangle + \Lambda}{3} - \frac{\rho_s}{3} + \frac{\rho_c}{6} \sqrt{18\frac{p_c^2}{\rho_c^2} + 15 + 12\frac{p_c}{\rho_c} \left(1 - \frac{2\Lambda}{\rho_c}\right) + \left(3 + 2\frac{\langle\rho\rangle}{\rho_c} - 6\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_c}\right) \frac{\Lambda}{\rho_c} - 2\left(9\frac{\rho_s^2}{\rho_c^2} - 6\frac{\langle\rho\rangle}{\rho_c} \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_c} + 4\frac{\langle\rho\rangle^2}{\rho_c^2}\right)}, \quad (45)$$

where ρ_s is the value of the density at the surface of the star, $\rho_s = \rho(R)$.

Another condition on $\Delta(R)$ can be obtained from the study of the scalar

$$r_1 = 64\pi^2 [(\rho + \Lambda)^2 + 3(p_r - \Lambda)^2 + 2\Delta(\Delta + 2p_r - 2\Lambda)]. \quad (46)$$

Under the same assumptions of regularity and monotonicity for the functions r_1 and $\Delta(r)$ and considering a non-vanishing surface density $\rho_s \neq 0$ we find for anisotropy parameter at the surface of the star the upper limit

$$\Delta(R) \leq \rho_c \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + 3\frac{p_c^2}{\rho_c^2} - \frac{\rho_s^2}{\rho_c^2}\right) - \left(3\frac{p_c}{\rho_c} + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_c} - 1\right) \frac{\Lambda}{\rho_c} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{\rho_c^2}} + \Lambda. \quad (47)$$

The invariant

$$r_0 = -8\pi(\rho - 3p_r - 2\Delta + 4\Lambda), \quad (48)$$

leads to the following bound for the anisotropy:

$$\Delta(R) \leq \frac{\rho_c}{2} \left[3 \frac{p_c}{\rho_c} + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_c} - 1 \right], \quad (49)$$

which is absolute in the sense that it does not depend on the value of the cosmological constant.

In the case of isotropic ($\Delta = 0$) and stable regular fluid spheres the condition of monotonic decrease of the scalar r_2 is always satisfied. By assuming that $\rho_s = 0$ (a condition which, for example, is readily satisfied by polytropic equations of state) from Eq. (45) we obtain the following upper bound for the mean density of the isotropic star:

$$\langle \rho \rangle \leq \rho_c \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + 3 \frac{p_c}{\rho_c} \right)^2 + \left(1 - 3 \frac{p_c}{\rho_c} \right) \frac{\Lambda}{\rho_c} + \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\rho_c} \right)^2}. \quad (50)$$

If the central pressure of the star satisfies an equation of state of the form $p_c = \rho_c$, and in the absence of the cosmological constant ($\Lambda = 0$), we obtain the following upper bound for the mean density of the star:

$$\langle \rho \rangle \leq \sqrt{5} \rho_c. \quad (51)$$

For a radiation-like equation of state at the center, $p_c = \rho_c/3$, the mean density of the star must satisfy the constraint

$$\langle \rho \rangle \leq \sqrt{2} \rho_c. \quad (52)$$

These constraints are physically justified since we have assumed a monotonically decreasing density inside the compact general relativistic object. The conditions on the anisotropy and mean density obtained here have been derived only from the study of the behavior of the curvature invariants, without explicitly solving the gravitational field equations.

V. DISCUSSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS

The existence of a limiting value of the mass-radius ratio leads to upper bounds for other physical quantities of observational interest. One of these quantities is the surface red shift z , defined according to

$$z = \left[1 - \frac{2\alpha(R) M}{R} \right]^{-1/2} - 1. \quad (53)$$

In the isotropic case $\Delta = 0$ and in the absence of the cosmological constant, $\Lambda = 0$, Eq. (26) leads to the well-known constraint $z \leq 2$ [3, 30]. For an anisotropic star in the

presence of a cosmological constant the surface red shift must obey the general restriction

$$z \leq \frac{2 + 3f(M, R, \Lambda, \Delta) + 2\Lambda/\langle\rho\rangle}{1 - 2\Lambda/\langle\rho\rangle}. \quad (54)$$

By keeping only the first order terms in Δ and Λ Eq. (54) can be written as

$$z \leq 2 + 3f(M, R, \Lambda, \Delta) + 6\frac{\Lambda}{\langle\rho\rangle}. \quad (55)$$

Therefore much higher surface red shifts than 2 could be observational criteria indicating the presence of anisotropic ultra-compact matter distributions.

By taking into account that the function $\arcsin x/x$ reaches its maximum value at $x = 1$, it follows that the maximum value $f_{\max}$ of the function f can be approximated as $f_{\max} \approx \Delta(R)/\langle\rho\rangle$. Therefore we obtain the following absolute upper bound for the redshift

$$z \leq 2 + \frac{3}{\langle\rho\rangle} (\Delta(R) + 2\Lambda). \quad (56)$$

With the use of Eq. (49) we obtain the following general restriction on the redshift of anisotropic stars:

$$z \leq 2 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\rho_c}{\langle\rho\rangle} \left(3\frac{p_c}{\rho_c} + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_c} - 1 \right) + 6\frac{\Lambda}{\langle\rho\rangle}. \quad (57)$$

For high density compact general relativistic objects the mean density can be approximated by the central density. Thus we have $\rho_c \approx \langle\rho\rangle$. In the limit of high densities the equation of state of dense matter satisfies the Zeldovich equation of state $p = \rho$. We choose to assume that matter actually behaves in this manner at densities above about ten times nuclear, that is at densities greater than 10^{17} g/cm³, or temperatures $T > (\rho/\sigma)^{1/4}$, where σ is the radiation constant [30]. Therefore, by neglecting the surface density ($\rho_s/\rho_c \approx 0$) and the effect of the cosmological constant, it follows that the maximum redshift of anisotropic stars must satisfy the condition

$$z \leq 5, \quad (58)$$

value which is consistent with the bound $z \leq 5.211$ obtained by Ivanov [17].

However, if the equation of state of the compact matter at the center of the star satisfies a radiation-type equation of state, $p = \rho/3$, the redshift of the anisotropic stars satisfies the same upper bound as the isotropic general relativistic objects, $z \leq 2$. Therefore the surface redshift is strongly dependent on the physical conditions at the center of the star. By assuming that the density of the star is slowly varying, so that $\rho_c \approx \langle\rho\rangle \approx \rho_s$ and

furthermore the equation of state of the dense matter at the center of the star satisfies the stiff Zeldovich equation of state $p_c = \rho_c$, then the surface redshift of anisotropic stars is constrained by $z \leq 7.5$. Hence very large values of the redshift may be the main observational signature of anisotropic stars.

As another application of the obtained upper mass-radius ratios we shall derive an explicit limit for the total energy of the compact general relativistic star. The total energy (including the gravitational field contribution) inside an equipotential surface S can be defined to be [32]

$$E = E_M + E_F = \frac{1}{8\pi} \xi_s \int_S [K] dS, \quad (59)$$

where ξ^i is a Killing field of time translation, ξ_s its value at S and $[K]$ is the jump across the shell of the trace of the extrinsic curvature of S , considered as embedded in the 2-space $t = \text{constant}$. $E_M = \int_S T_i^k \xi^i \sqrt{-g} dS_k$ and E_F are the energy of the matter and of the gravitational field, respectively. This definition is manifestly coordinate invariant. In the case of a static spherically symmetric matter distribution from Eq. (59) we obtain the following exact expression [32]:

$$E = -r e^{\nu/2} [e^{-\lambda/2}]. \quad (60)$$

Hence the total energy of a compact general relativistic object is

$$E = R \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R} - \frac{8\pi}{3} \Lambda R^2 \right)^{1/2} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R} - \frac{8\pi}{3} \Lambda R^2 \right)^{1/2} \right]. \quad (61)$$

With the use of Eq. (26) we immediately find the following upper limit for the total energy of the star:

$$E \leq 2R \frac{1 + \Lambda/\langle \rho \rangle + 3f(M, R, \Lambda, \Delta)/2}{1 - 2\Lambda/\langle \rho \rangle} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R} - \frac{8\pi}{3} \Lambda R^2 \right). \quad (62)$$

For an isotropic matter distribution $\Delta = 0$ and

$$E \leq 2R \frac{1 + \Lambda/\langle \rho \rangle}{1 - 2\Lambda/\langle \rho \rangle} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R} - \frac{8\pi}{3} \Lambda R^2 \right). \quad (63)$$

In the case of a vanishing cosmological constant we obtain the upper bound

$$E \leq 2R \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R} \right). \quad (64)$$

All the previous results on the mass-radius ratio for anisotropic stellar objects have been obtained by assuming the basic conditions (15) and (22). But for an arbitrary large

anisotropy parameter Δ we can not exclude in principle the situation in which these conditions do not hold. If, for example $\eta(r) > \Psi(r)$, $\forall r \neq 0$ or

$$\frac{8\pi\Delta(r)}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \frac{m}{r^3} > 0, \quad (65)$$

then for a star with monotonically decreasing density instead of the condition (15) we must have

$$\frac{d^2\Psi}{d\xi^2} > 0, \quad \forall r. \quad (66)$$

This situation corresponds to a tangential pressure dominated stellar structure with $\Delta(r) > 0$. In this case we obtain a restriction on the minimum mass-radius ratio of the compact object of the form

$$\left(1 - \frac{8\pi}{3}\Lambda R^2\right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{9} \frac{(1 - 2\Lambda/\langle\rho\rangle)^2}{\left(1 - \frac{8\pi}{3}\Lambda R^2\right)(1+f)^2}\right] < \frac{2M}{R} < 1. \quad (67)$$

For this hypothetically ultra-compact anisotropic star $4/9$ is a lower bound for the mass-radius ratio.

In the present paper we have considered the mass-radius ratio bound for anisotropic compact general relativistic objects. Also in that case it is possible to obtain explicit inequalities involving $2M/R$ as an explicit function of the anisotropy parameter Δ . Contrary to the isotropic case we have not found a universal limit (different from half) for this type of (possible) astrophysical objects. The surface red shift and the total energy (including the gravitational one) are strongly modified due to the presence of anisotropies in the pressure distribution inside the compact object. The mass-radius ratio depends very sensitively on the value of the anisotropy parameter at the surface of the star and different physical models can lead to very different mass-radius relations. A general feature of the behavior of physical parameters of anisotropic compact stars is that the increase in mass, red shift or total energy is proportional to the deviations from isotropy. Therefore there are no theoretical restrictions for these stellar type structures to extend up to the apparent horizon and achieve masses of the order of $M \leq R/2$.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank to the two anonymous referees for comments and suggestions that significantly improved the manuscript. C.G.B. wishes to thank the Department

of Physics of the Hong Kong University where parts of this work has been performed. The work of C.G.B. is supported by research grant BO 2530/1-1 of the German Research Foundation (DFG). The work of T. H. was supported by a Seed Funding Programme for Basic Research of the Hong Kong Government.

- [1] V. Kalogera and G. Baym, *Astrophys. J.* **470**, L61 (1996).
- [2] C. E. Rhoades Jr. and R. Ruffini, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32**, 324 (1974).
- [3] H. A. Buchdahl, *Phys. Rev.* **116**, 1027 (1959).
- [4] R. Ruderman, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **10**, 427 (1972).
- [5] R. F. Sawyer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **29**, 382 (1972); R. Kippenhahn and A. Weigert, *Stellar Structure and Evolution*, Springer, Berlin, (1990).
- [6] A. I. Sokolov, *Sov. Phys., JETP* **52**, 575 (1980).
- [7] V. Canuto and S. M. Chitre, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 999 (1973).
- [8] L. Herrera and N. O. Santos, *Astrophys. J.* **438**, 308 (1995).
- [9] P. Letelier, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 807 (1980).
- [10] L. Herrera and N. O. Santos, *Phys. Rept.* **286**, 53 (1997).
- [11] H. Heintzmann and W. Hillebrandt, *Astron. Astrophys.* **38**, 51 (1975).
- [12] R. L. Bowers and E. P. T. Liang, *Astrophys. J.* **188**, 657 (1974).
- [13] H. Bondi, *MNRAS* **259**, 365 (1992).
- [14] T. Harko and M. K. Mak, *Annalen Phys.* **11**, 3 (2002).
- [15] M. K. Mak and T. Harko, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **459**, 393 (2003).
- [16] J. Guven and N. O. Murchadha, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 084020 (1999).
- [17] B. V. Ivanov, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 104011 (2002).
- [18] D. E. Barraco, V. H. Hamity and R. J. Gleiser, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 064003 (2003).
- [19] M. K. Mak, P. N. Dobson, Jr. and T. Harko, *Int. J. of Mod. Phys. D* **11**, 207 (2002).
- [20] A. G. Riess et al., *Astron. J.* **116**, 1099 (1998).
- [21] S. Perlmutter et al., *Nature* **391**, 51 (1998).
- [22] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 559 (2003).
- [23] M. K. Mak, P. N. Dobson, Jr. and T. Harko, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **15**, 2153 (2000); C. G. Böhmer, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **36**, 1039 (2004); C. G. Böhmer, *Ukr. J. Phys.* **50**, 1219 (2005);

- A. Balaguera-Antolinez, C. G. Böhmer and M. Nowakowski, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **14**, 1507 (2005).
- [24] C. G. Böhmer and T. Harko, *Phys. Lett. B* **630**, 73 (2005).
 - [25] F. D. Ryan, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 6081 (1997).
 - [26] E. W. Mielke and F. E. Schunck, *Nucl. Phys. B* **564**, 185 (2000).
 - [27] F. E. Schunck and E. W. Mielke, *Class. Quantum. Grav.* **20**, R301 (2003).
 - [28] E. Seidel and W. M. Suen, *Phys. Rev. D* **42**, 384 (1990).
 - [29] F. Kusmartsev, E. W. Mielke and F. E. Schunck, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 3895 (1991).
 - [30] N. Straumann, *General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics*, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1984).
 - [31] C. G. Böhmer and T. Harko, gr-qc/0602081
 - [32] J. Katz, D. Lynden-Bell and W. Israel, *Class. Quantum. Grav.* **5**, 971 (1988); O. Gron and S. Johannesen, *Astrophys. Space Science* **19**, 411 (1992).